



UPM 3MT® COMPETITION 2023
JUDGING RUBRIC

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|----------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| PRESENTER | : | | | | | |
| FACULTY/INSTITUTE/SCHOOL | : | | | | | |
| CATEGORY | SOCIAL SCIENCES | | SCIENCE | | ENGINEERING SCIENCES | |
| PRESENTATION TITLE | : | | | | | |

SCORING SCALE

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Does not meet expectations | Demonstrates competency with some major weaknesses | Demonstrates competency with some significant weaknesses | Good, with some flaws | Very good, with only very minor flaws | Excellent, almost flawless | Outstanding, no flaws |

Please include a score between 1 and 7 in the sections below. The presenter will then be provided with an overall score out of 42.

| COMPREHENSION AND CONTENT | SCORE |
|---|--------------|
| Presentation provided clear background and significance to the research question | |
| Presentation clearly described the research strategy/design and the results/findings of the research | |
| Presentation clearly described the conclusions, outcomes and impact of the research | |
| | /21 |
| ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION | SCORE |
| The oration was delivered clearly, and the language was appropriate for a non-specialist audience | |
| The PowerPoint slide was well-defined and enhanced the presentation | |
| The presenter conveyed enthusiasm for their research and captured and maintained the audience's attention | |
| | /21 |
| OVERALL SCORE | /42 |

COMMENTS

(This very brief comment will be used to provide feedback to unsuccessful entrants via email. Please identify one positive and one area for improvement (the most obvious area).)
